

Office of the President

Woodland Campus 61 Woodland Street Hartford, CT 06105-2354 (860) 520-7801 FAX 520-7911



Mary Ann Affleck, Academic Dean
John Arlauskas, Dean of Administration
Doris Arrington, Dean of Student Services
Linda Guzzo, Dean of Cont. Ed. & C.S.
Cindy Adams, Director of Nursing & Health Careers
Guy Amenta, Supervising Stationary Engineer
Hassan Babatunji, Testing & BANNER Trainer Coord.
Marsha Ball-Davis, Director of Admissions
Deborah Belliveau, Coordinator of Cont. Ed.
Susan Rand Brown, Dir. of Marketing/Info. Serv.
Nancy Caddigan, ESL Coordinator
Barbara Chan, Executive Assistant to the President
John Christie, Chair, Humanities Department
Brenda Craig, Director of Special Populations
Lynn Davis, Registrar

Karen DeLoatch, Acting Dir.-Of-Library Services Linda Domenitz, Director of Career Planning German Escudero, Workplace Liaison/Proj. Coordinator Roger Ferraro, Director of Computer Services Rubin Fisher, Director of Human Resources Amelia Gomes, Assistant Director of Financial Aid Kathy Cuddy Herron, Math Center Coordinator C. Ray Hughes, Assistant Academic Dean Thomas Janik, Dir. of Finance & Admin. Services John Jascot, Chair, Social & Behavioral Sciences Patricia Lindsey, Dir., Continuing Tech. Education Joan Marchessault, Chair, Business & Technology Lynn Marino, Chair, Math & Science Division Dorothy Mascolo, Purchasing Services Officer John McNamara, Director of Development John Mohammadi, Director of Institutional Research

Steven Spears, Sergeant, Public-Safety
Barbara Viadella, Dir., Cont. Ed. for Nursing & A. H.
Margaret Wolf, Director of Financial Aid

Ramon Rodrigues, Assistant Director of Financial Aid

Steven Minkler, Director of Media Services
James Plourd, Fiscal Admin. Supervisor

Kurt Simonds, Learning Center Coordinator

FROM:

Ira Rubenzahl, President

DATE:

September 28, 2000

SUBJECT:

Leadership Meeting

Flatbush Campus 401 Flatbush Avenue Hargised, CT 06106-3798 FAN 987-4806 I look forward to joining with you on the next phase of our leadership training initiative on October 3 from 9 to 12 noon at the University of Hartford Gengras Student Union, Room H, followed by lunch. I know that some of you will not be able to be present due to work commitments on campous. However, please make every effort to attend and contact your supervisor if you have any questions.

I have attached for your review prior to this meeting the notes from our retreat of April 7 at St. Thomas Seminary. You will recall that during that day we identified 12 key college issues and then selected the four most important: understanding and clariffication of organizational structure, top down governance, recognition of people's abilities, territoritality versus cooperation. We broke up into four groups and brainstormed

A Member of the Connecticut Community-Technical College System Memo to Leaders September 28, 2000 Page 2

possible solutions to those issues. On October 3 I would like us to continue this process with the goal of establishing a mechanism for making improvements at Capital based on these issues.

I know that change in an institution is both challenging and rewarding. Your patience and constructive attitude is appreciated during this sometimes difficult process. Together we can make Capital a better college as well as a more exciting place to work.

IHR/bc

Cc: Rosario and Associates

Attachments

Accass for Handicapted dining halls, as All academic buildings and accassible to well as most dermitories, accassible to handicapped handicapped handicapped handicapped as a series of the watton and available in various parking available.

### MISSION STATEMENT

.

The Mission of the Leadership Team is to empower and to inspire ourselves and others to make Capital Community College the best community college in Connecticut.

### **VISION STATEMENT**

The leadership team provides inspiration to facilitate innovative change to remove obstacles and encourage participation and achievement of shared goals.

We promote cooperation and unity that enhances levels of communication and develop positive, productive and effective ways to accomplish the college's mission and strategic plans.

### Issues Identified

A) B)	Recognition of peoples abilities  Values of Institution does not equal what we tell employees to do  Opportunities need to be given  Reward System = Individual Based  Questions around merit. What is it? Who gets it?
B) C)	Top-down governance – obstacles Professional Bureaucracy Expectations are not collectively determined Hierarchical Structure Team Player = Doing what boss wants?
III. A)	Territoriality vs. Cooperation Shared resources
IV.	Negative attitude ("Disempowered") vs can do spirit.
٧.	Trust
VI.	Communication improvement (down, up and sideways)
A) B)	Understanding / Clarification of organizational structure; Role and sponsibility and impact or dynamics.  Need to clarify roles & responsibilities  Clarity in responsibility & accountability  Supervisor's Manager's must be comfortable with their positions  Share the credit – Share the blame
VIII. A)	Time to build relationships and agreement on task. Accepted task
B)	Conflict management with intercultural issues added No mechanism for dealing with intercultural conflicts There is a sense of competition between you Style differences
Х.	Individual/Competition
XI.	Need to simplify procedures

Customer service training

XII.

What are the top 4 Priority Issues?	# Votes
vy mae aro successive services as a service se	
1. Understanding / Clarification of organizational structure; Role and	18
responsibility and impact or dynamics.	
2. Top-down governance-obstacles.	17
3. Recognition of peoples abilities.	<u>16</u>
4. Territoriality vs. Cooperation.	14

### What needs to happen to make improvements?

### Understanding/Clarification of organizational structure; Role and responsibility and impact or dynamics.

- 1. <u>Division-</u> Systematic Planning & Analysis of Div. In 1-3 year cycles
- 2. Review Unit/Dept. Operations plus functions available to all staff
- 3. <u>Managers-</u>Establish monthly / yearly work plans plus goals available to all staff
- 4. Individuals review/analyze their own
  - a. "Real" Tasks
  - b. Orphan Tasks
  - c. Added Tasks

### 5. Yearly Reports

- a. From each Dept.- Universal Format\*
- b. To the whole College

<sup>\*</sup>Leadership Team to Develop.

- a. Name our obstacles in truth
- b. Name our successes
- c. Name our challenges

### Top-down governance-obstacles

- 1. Build inclusive teams for specific functions/projects.
- 2. Flexible hierarchical structure.
- 3. Better coordination leads to collective expectation.
- 4. Evaluate present structure.
- 5. Open-minded + inclusive teams.

### Recognition of Peoples Abilities

- 1. College based recognition system.
- 2. Negotiate with unions to allow for more recognition methods.
- 3. Encourage individuals to innovate-provide support for innovation.
- 4. Provide cross functional operations
- 5. Staff rotation.

### Territoriality vs. Cooperation

- 1. Define what we have vs. what we need
- 2. Getting groups to communicate horizontally

- 3. Attitudes need to be changed
- 4. Getting rid of US vs. THEM
- 5. If identify problem offer solution
- 6. If possible, communicate Face-to-Face
- 7. Remember the customer-Don't bounce the student
- 8. Sharing Resources
- 9. Discuss with everyone
- 10. Find ways for departments to interact
- 11.Benchmark / copy from other organization
- 12. Encourage participation in activities to get to know each other
- 13. No segregation of depts.@ G.Fox
- 14. What's unique vs. what overlaps
- 15.Looking at larger team vs. individual group
- 16. Rewarding Cooperation
- 17. Working toward common solutions
- 18. Prioritize Needs
- 19. Foster atmosphere of openness vs. complaining
- 20. Creating opportunities to cooperate with others (cross dept. teams and assignments)
- 21. Establish inter-departmental rewards
- 22. Recognize and celebrate collaborations
- 23. Assess progress-consciously
- 24. Building Community
- 25.Reform internal funding structure
- 26. Clarify Budgets
- 27. Have meeting to explain funding process

# Capital Community Technical College

# Employee Culture Audit & Student Diversity Survey

Summary Report

**Presented By** 

Rosario & Associates and ESB Consulting

#### **Employee Culture Audit**

#### Introduction

1.

For approximately six months the Diversity Initiative Committee has been involved in a strategic planning process in order to design a comprehensive Diversity Initiative which will begin in the Fall of 1999. As one of its first action steps they designed an organizational culture audit involving surveying: staff and faculty, students and key community members.

The Diversity Initiative Committee of Capital Community Technical College commissioned this survey in order to look at organizational values and norms to assess how do organizational practices encourage or discourage diversity. Rosario & Associates presented several survey models. The committee decided to merge two surveys and eliminated questions they felt were not relevant to their institution.

A thirteen-page survey resulted from this adaptation. Rosaida Morales Rosario and Ellen Smith-Bigelow worked with committee chairs to coordinate staff and faculty schedules to have the time to complete the survey. We personally monitored each session, collecting the completed surveys, eliminating the concern that other staff/faculty would be able to identify anyone.

### General Findings

We received 113 completed surveys out of a possible 166 for a return rate of 68%.

Of this number we found that: the majority of respondents 71% - were white, 63% - female, 56% with Masters or doctorate degrees and 45% are continuing their education. 71% - have been employed over 6 years and 49% over 10 years.

Race/Ethnicity:	<u>%</u>
Hispanic ·	9%
Native American	2%
African	9%
American	
Black	6%
Asian	2%
White	71%
Other	3%

- The overall assessment reveals positive attitudes in the area of staff/faculty
  effectiveness, competency and dedication to their diverse student population in this
  urban setting (Q#10,11,13,74) and for training/professional development
  opportunities (Q# 24,24,26).
- Respondents feel positive by the new hires of diverse staff/faculty, The diversity initiative and the President's commitment and leadership as well as the potential opportunities in the near future.(Q#72)
- It is interesting to note that faculty/staff feel they are effective members of their team. (ranked above 7 on a scale of 1 - 10) and that their department or division is also an effective team. Also that the future of this organization is 86% good, 11% excellent. (Q#74-77)

#### Possible Issues Identified

- The majority of responses indicate that major changes have taken place at CCTC which have created areas of dissatisfaction and frustration:
  - ♦ Organizational changes: the merger, administrative changes.
  - ♦ Changes of clientele: more welfare to work students whose attitude and behavior may not reflect that of the other students.
  - More technology/more innovation
  - ♦ Administration VS. Employees
  - Less employee "Spirit of the Whole"
- Significant number of staff expressed frustration and unmet expectations with the feelings of poor management, ineffective leadership, lack of administrative caring and lack of planning. (Q#14). 60% of faculty/staff have considered leaving,(Q#16) and 72% state they are not or don't know if they consider themselves successful at CCTC(Q#20). The quality of work at CCTC is ranked 5.8 on a scale of 1 - 10. (Q#73)
- 59% have not had a mentor or coach help them in their job(Q#27). For those that did, 35% asked for help and 62% had their co-worker or supervisor offer their help(Q#29). Yet 51% said their experience as a mentor was unrewarding(Q#34).
- With regards to the needs of minorities, women, office personnel, white males, homosexuals and bisexuals doing their job better and advancing; the majority surveyed responded that administration should set a clear mandate to develop skills, provide training and career development, treat the same/ providing equal opportunity and assure that people are competent for the positions they are hired

into regardless of their race, gender, etc. Technology should be updated and people better supervised with job expectations made clear (Q#35-39).

- It is interesting to note that less than 25% even answered (Q#67,68). 77% of those
  that did answer feel they can discuss issues of racism, and other biases with
  supervisors. 23% don't discuss these issues with supervisors due to lack of interest
  and trust and some respondents feel the culture and values of CCTC does not
  reward equal opportunity nor does it utilize the talent of all its people.
  (Q#66,67,68,71,78).
- The quality of supervision was ranked an average of 6.6 on a scale of 1 10. What
  is useful supervision is receiving feedback and appropriate communications, setting
  goals and being given projects where one can learn and grow. When asked about
  informal feedback by supervisors on how they are doing, 72% state that this
  information is mostly to very useful (Q#45, 48,49, 80).
- The negative aspects regarding supervision are; micro-management, little to no supervision, not being listened to, inability to deal with conflict, lack of respect and little positive feedback. (Q#50,53).

### Recommendations

Expand Diversity Initiative Committee membership by enrolling additional faculty, staff administrators and students in the following 5 areas:

### 1. Improve Communications:

- Develop effective internal communication systems.
- Review and recommend changes to streamline and improve efficiency of current procedures.
- Consciously infuse all communication vehicles with mission of Diversity Committee in order to reflect this message in all College communications.

### 2. Improve Training/Education and Mentoring Systems:

- Create an improved system of assessing personal and professional developmental needs for all faculty and staff.
- Provide training for supervisors and mentors including effective listening/communication, coaching and managing diverse people and conflict resolution skills.
- Self Awareness and Awareness of Others
- Valuing Diversity (General Diversity and Specific Knowledge about Diverse Groups)

 Skills Building such as: Communicating across Cultural Differences and Conflict Resolution Skills

### 3. Improve Outreach/ Recruitment and Hiring Systems:

- Give exit interviews to better understand the concerns of diverse staff that leave CCTC.
- Have focus groups with current diverse staff to identify positive attributes of CCTC to market and to identify potential new networks to recruit through.
   Increase Minority Fellows program

### Improve systems of Evaluation, Promotion and Recognition:

- Assess and address policies regarding dysfunctional units, managers, supervisors, etc.
- · Review and recommend changes to current evaluation system.
- Create ongoing staff/faculty recognition program.
- 5. <u>Celebrating Diversity/ Marketing Initiative</u>: Have a cross-cultural/racial committee charged with creating celebratory activities about diversity.

### Student Diversity Survey

#### Introduction

For approximately six months the Diversity Initiative Committee has been involved in a strategic planning process in order to design a comprehensive Diversity Initiative which will begin in the Fall of 1999. As one of its first action steps they designed an organizational culture audit involving surveying: staff and faculty, and students.

The Diversity Initiative Committee of Capital Community Technical College commissioned a student survey in order to determine student perceptions on diversity issues at the college. Rosario & Associates presented several survey models utilized at other colleges (both 2 and 4 year). The committee decided to merge two surveys and eliminated questions they felt were not relevant to Capital.

A twelve-page student survey resulted from this adaptation. Both staff and faculty committee members volunteered to disseminate and collect the surveys over a two-week period. In return for completing the survey students received free movie passes to the movie of their choice. One thousand surveys were disseminated.

#### **General Findings**

Although it was hoped that 300-400 surveys would be completed and collected only 102 surveys were actually returned. While the low returns makes it difficult to attribute real findings to the broader student body, some interesting patterns did emerge. It is important to emphasize however, the danger in relying too heavily on such a small sample. This is particularly true about the Native American (only 2) and Asian (only 8) responses.

Generally speaking, the results were very positive. They paint a picture of CCTC as a highly diverse college, which has a fairly open and welcoming environment.

- 51% of the respondents felt that CCTC had led them to become more understanding of racial/ethnic differences (Q. # 16 and #63).
- White respondents in particular (87%) felt it had increased their understanding as well as 80% of them found it easy to get to know students with racial ethnic background different from their own (Q. #17).
- Most respondents seemed satisfied with their experience at CCTC with 65% of them wanting to return next year (Q. #11, #64, #66, and #67). The majority of those that

did not want to return were looking forward to entering a 4 year college (Q.#12 and 13).

Total	%
29	28%
2	2% .
8	8%
15	15%
19	·19%
24	24%
5	5%
102	101%
	29 2 8 15 19 24 5

Note: Blacks described themselves as very diverse. They include 1 Native American, 1 Asian, 3 Jamaicans, 1 from Trinidad, and 1 from St. Maartenan

- 75 % were U.S. citizens
- 71% were Female.
- While 50% were 16-25 years old, 53% of the White respondents were 36 years or older.
- While 57 % of all students responding were full-time students 73% of the White respondents were part-time students.
- 67% of the respondents were employed: 52% part-time and 15% full-time. All of those employed full time were African-American/Black or Asian;
- 58% traveled by personal vehicle. This is interesting given the pending move to downtown Hartford.
- A surprising number of respondents were taking classes at CCTC despite already having attained at least an Associates Degree; 29% had an Associates or higher degree.
- Top three majors being pursued were: 17% Nursing, 16% Liberal Arts, 14% Computers.
- 65% planned on returning in the Fall.
- 25% planned on transferring to another college most of those planned on going to CCSU.

#### Possible Issues Identified

- 23% of respondents agreed that their social interactions were confined largely to students of their own race/ethnicity (Q.#18). This included 27% of White Respondents and 29% of African American/Black respondents. This also mirrors some of the narrative comments provided to Q.#111 in which several respondents requested an increase in activities or opportunities to interact and learn from one another about race/ethnicity and culture.
- While overall 49% of students responding did not feel different expectations about their academic performance because of their race/ethnicity, 27% of the White respondents and 29% of the Hispanic respondents felt they did (Q.#19)
- 20% White respondents also felt more pressured to minimize various characteristics
  of their racial/ethnic culture to be able to fit in.
- Interestingly 40% of White respondents felt coming to CCTC had strengthened their own sense of ethnic identity.
- Respondents seemed to be curiously neutral or non-responsive on issues about
  diversity being reflected in the activities at the college as well as about diversity in
  those who participated in them. In the narrative responses to Q.#111 several
  respondents mentioned a need to improve communications about student activities
  indicating that they felt they didn't know when or what was happening at CCTC.
- Respondents were also non-committal on issues about diversity with regards to students with disabilities. This could be reflecting a lack of understanding about who are students with disabilities or it could be reflecting a broader societal ignorance about issues regarding disabilities.
- 87% of the White respondents felt that Faculty were approachable outside the classroom and fair to all students regardless of ethnicity/race (Q.#39-40).
   Respondents of color (African-American/Black, Hispanic, Asian, and Native American) were less convinced of this.
- 21% of the Hispanics felt that the faculty ignored their comments or questions (Q.#44).
- While 73% of White respondents felt that courses were regularly offered on race, culture ethnicity and other issues of diversity; the majority of respondents of color did not agree. (Q.#47)

- 50% of the respondents agreed that an ethnic studies (multicultural) course should be a program requirement (Q.#48).
- 48% of the respondents felt that there should be no special effort to recruit racial/ethnic groups as faculty or staff (Q.#62). This may be reflecting recent antiaffirmative action public sentiment.
- Respondents showed a lack of knowledge about student grievance procedures in general (Q.#98-103).

#### Recommendations

We must reiterate our earlier caution about weighing too heavily findings from such a small number of respondents. Having said this, there are a few things that could be initiated to increase knowledge and valuing diversity at CCTC.

- 1. Initiate a Diversity Course or Program for all new students.
- 2. Integrate multi-ethnic/racial and other perspectives into curriculum.
- 3. Train staff in and integrate diverse classroom methodology and learning styles.
- 4. Review of CCTC's student policies and grievance process, perhaps in a required freshman English or History classes, freshmen seminar or orientation.
- Work with the cafeteria folks to sponsor ethnic food days (at least monthly). Highlighting a different group each time.
  - 6. Showcase cultural artifacts with some ethnic music in the main lobby to coincide with the ethnic food days.
- 7. Sponsor diverse cultural performances once a month in the auditorium.
- 8. Sponsor Diversity Speak Outs or "Conversations on...Diversity" or Study Circles (specific curriculum models are available through Rosario & Associates).
- 9. Through clubs and organizations in the college give opportunity to reflect on diversity.
- Increase exposure to different role models by hiring diverse guest lecturers within different disciplines.